

|   |                        |                     |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|   | 10/601,481             | FENSOME ET AL.      |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |
|   | Raymond J. Henley III  | 1614                |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Raymond J. Henley III. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Cathy A. Kodroff. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 July 2006

**Time:** AM (EDT)

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### **Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

27

Prior art documents discussed:

None

#### **Part II.**

#### **SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner's amendment authorized to correct dependency of claim 27 from claim 41 to claim 1.*

#### **Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)